

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 1st Qtr Calendar Year '06 versus 1st Qtr Calendar Year '07

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 61 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 3,015) and "total decrease" in ADP (-732). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 3,015 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 732 ADP. Subtracting 732 from 3,015 produces the overall increase of 2,283 between the first quarter of calendar year 2006 and the first quarter of calendar year 2007.
2. The Sacramento County ADP increase of 849 is 28.2% of the total increase of 3,015. Thirty-one jurisdictions had increases (down to Mono County Sheriff's Department) with no jurisdictions reporting no increase. When you get to Mono County Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 3,015).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Mariposa County Sheriff's Department to San Diego County Work Furlough). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 732 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Sacramento County Sheriff's Department to Orange County Sheriff's Department) is 59.6%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for almost 60% of the total ADP increase. Four jurisdictions (Sonoma County Sheriff's Department, Butte County Sheriff's Department Santa Clara Department of Correction, and San Diego County Work Furlough) account for 43% of the decreases.